

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.			
10/661,812	09/15/2003	Martin Heeney	MERCK-2740	7389			
23599	23599 7590 12/01/2006		EXAMINER				
MILLEN, WHITE, ZELANO & BRANIGAN, P.C. 2200 CLARENDON BLVD.							
SUITE 1400			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
ARLINGTON	, VA 22201		<u> </u>	******			

DATE MAILED: 12/01/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				,			
Notification of Non-Compliant Appeal Brief (37 CFR 41.37)			Application No.	Applicant(s) HEENEY ET AL.			
		ation of Non-Compliant Appeal Brief	10/661,812				
		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	Examiner	Art Unit			
			Duc Truong	1711			
_		The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence a	ddress		
	The Ap	peal Brief filed on 25 August 2006 is defective for	or failure to comply with one or m	nore provisions of	37 CFR 41.37.		
	1205.0	id dismissal of the appeal, applicant must file an 3) within ONE MONTH or THIRTY DAYS from t ISIONS OF THIS TIME PERIOD MAY BE GRA	he mailing date of this Notificatio				
	1. 🛚	The brief does not contain the items required under 37 CFR 41.37(c), or the items are not under the proper heading or in the proper order.					
	2. 🗌	The brief does not contain a statement of the status of all claims, (e.g., rejected, allowed, withdrawn, objected to, canceled), or does not identify the appealed claims (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(iii)).					
	3. 🗌	At least one amendment has been filed subsequent to the final rejection, and the brief does not contain a statement of the status of each such amendment (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(iv)).					
	4. 🛛	(a) The brief does not contain a concise explanation of the subject matter defined in each of the independent claims involved in the appeal, referring to the specification by page and line number and to the drawings, if any, by reference characters; and/or (b) the brief fails to: (1) identify, for each independent claim involved in the appeal and for each dependent claim argued separately, every means plus function and step plus function under 35 U.S.C. 112, sixth paragraph, and/or (2) set forth the structure, material, or acts described in the specification as corresponding to each claimed function with reference to the specification by page and line number, and to the drawings, if any, by reference characters (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(v)).					
	5. 🗌	The brief does not contain a concise statement of each ground of rejection presented for review (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(vi))					
	6. 🗌	The brief does not present an argument under a separate heading for each ground of rejection on appeal (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(vii)).					
	7. 🗌	The brief does not contain a correct copy of the 41.37(c)(1)(viii)).	e appealed claims as an appendi	x thereto (37 CFF	₹ .		
E	8.	The brief does not contain copies of the evidence submitted under 37 CFR 1.130, 1.131, or 1 other evidence entered by the examiner and relied upon by appellant in the appeal , along statement setting forth where in the record that evidence was entered by the examiner, as ar thereto (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(ix)).			ı a		
	9. 🛚	The brief does not contain copies of the decision identified in the Related Appeals and Interferer 41.37(c)(1)(x)).					
	10.🛛	Other (including any explanation in support of t	he above items):				

DUCTRUONG PRIMARY EXAMINER

the claimed invention is mapped to independent claim 1, which refer to the specification at page 14, paragraph [0035], provides support for the proviso. However, such support has not been found. Further, the heading Related proceedings is missing, if there are none an indication of "None" or "Not Applicable" is required..